



Author's Reply

Re: Giant cell tumor in the proximal phalanges of the hand: a report of two cases treated with a nonbiological construct, letter to the editorMahammad A Altayeb, MD¹, Mahmood Farouk Shaheen, MD¹, Salim Mustafa Abduljawad, MD², Rajeev Pant, MD¹¹Orthopedic Department, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ²Medical Intern, Alfaisal University College of Medicine, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia***Corresponding author:**Mahammad A Altayeb,
Orthopedic Department,
King Faisal Specialist
Hospital and Research
Center MBC 77, P.O. Box:
3354, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

tyb.moh@gmail.com

Received : 14-May-2021

Accepted : 17-May-2021

Epub Ahead of Print : 30-Jun-2021

Published :

DOI

10.25259/JMSR_47_2021

Dear Sir,

We would like to thank you for your interest and raising a discussion^[1] about the published article: (Giant cell tumor in the proximal phalanges of the hand: A report of two cases treated with a nonbiological construct).^[2]

These types of lesions are rare and held more aggressive behaviors than similar pathology in other locations. Therefore, we agree that there is not one best treatment option in treating such primarily, and this reflect challenges in any recurrence case.

Our second case was managed primarily with excision, cementation, and hydrogen peroxide as a local adjuvant, unfortunately, developed a recurrence. We successfully managed this recurrence, with another resection and cementation with hydrogen peroxide being our only adjuvant locally. Although our primary plan was to do an amputation, the patient refused this option totally, and in our recent contact with her (April 2021), she is happy for making that choice and she is free of disease locally and systemically.

Our primary procedure was a total excision of the phalanx, and bone stock did force us away from curettage and cementation. This (curettage and cementing the cavity after phenol as an adjuvant) was Wittig's option when he reported using cementation after curettage and phenol with good outcome as a primary procedure.^[3] With only soft tissue available after resection, the only local adjuvant option we had was hydrogen peroxide. In a recent report by Omlor *et al.*, hydrogen peroxide was proven to be an efficient local adjuvant therapy for giant cell tumors of bone and reduces recurrence rate.^[4]

Managing recurrent cases with another excision has been reported in similar locations (phalanges and metacarpals of the hand) by Athanasian and Averill. In both reports combined, a total of 7 recurrent cases were successfully treated without amputation or ray resection (local resection and wide excision) with follow-up period ranging from 1 to 8 years.^[5,6] In an advanced technology by Beltrami using 3-D printing, he succeeded in managing an aggressive recurrence case of GCT-B of a hand proximal phalanx with excision and reconstruction with 3-D printed titanium implant with 2 years follow-up.^[7] Oufkir *et al.*, in their review of GCT of small hand bones (capitate),

Quick Response Code:**How to cite this article:** Altayeb MA, Shaheen ME, Abduljawad SM, Pant R. Re: Giant cell tumor in the proximal phalanges of the hand: a report of two cases treated with a nonbiological construct, letter to the editor. J Musculoskelet Surg Res doi: 10.25259/JMSR_47_2021.

Publisher of Scientific Journals

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2021 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Journal of Musculoskeletal Surgery and Research

4 recurrence cases were managed with another local resection and did not notice any third recurrence in a follow-up period of 1 to 5 years.^[8]

Amputation and ray resection is an option to reduce recurrence; at the same time, it is the most aggressive locally. We cannot be certain that our chosen procedure is the standard of care. Therefore, we agree to have more international collaboration groups and registry of cases to understand better and conclude a cure.

REFERENCES

1. Alexander L. Re: Giant cell tumor in the proximal phalanges of the hand: A report of two cases treated with a nonbiological construct. *J Musculoskelet Surg Res* 2021;5:in press.
2. Altayeb MA, Shaheen MF, Abduljawad SM, Pant R. Giant cell tumor in the proximal phalanges of the hand: A report of two cases treated with a nonbiological construct. *J Musculoskelet Surg Res* 2021;5:62–6.
3. Wittig JC, Simpson BM, Bickels J, Kellar-Graney KL, Malawer MM. Giant cell tumor of the hand: superior results with curettage, cryosurgery, and cementation. *J Hand Surg Am* 2001;26:546–55.
4. Omlor GW, Lange J, Streit M, Gantz S, Merle C, Germann T, et al. Retrospective analysis of 51 intralesionally treated cases with progressed giant cell tumor of the bone: local adjuvant use of hydrogen peroxide reduces the risk for tumor recurrence. *World J Surg Oncol* 2019;17:73
5. Athanasian EA. Bone and Soft Tissue Tumors. In *Green's Operative Hand Surgery*. Wolfe SW, Hotchkiss RN, Pederson WC, Kozin SH, Cohen MS (eds.). 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2017:2025–26.
6. Averill RA, Smith RJ, Campbell CJ: Giant-cell tumors of the bones of the hand. *J Hand Surg Am* 1980;5:39–50.
7. Beltrami G. Custom 3D-printed finger proximal phalanx as salvage of limb function after aggressive recurrence of giant cell tumour. *BMJ case Rep* 2018;2018:1–4.
8. Oufkir AA, Lazerges C, Coulet B, Chammas M. Giant cell tumor of the capitate treated with excision and midcarpal fusion. *J Wrist Surg* 2017;6:238–43.